
Exova Warringtonfire Holmesfield Road Warrington WA1 2DS United Kingdom

T:+44 (0 1925 655116 F:+44 (0) 1925 655419 E:warrington@exova.com W:www.exova.com



# BS 476: Part 7: 1997



Method For Classification Of The Surface **Spread Of Flame Of Products** 

A Report To: S Lucas Limited

Document Reference: 326981

Date: 2<sup>nd</sup> April 2013

Issue No.: 1

Page 1







## **Executive Summary**

**Objective** 

To determine the surface spread of flame classification of the following product when tested in accordance with BS 476: Part 7: 1997.

Generic Description	Product reference	Thickness / application rate	Weight per unit area or density		
Coated cement board	"Muralplast MP Quartz on Versapanel"	8.25mm	11.12kg/m <sup>2</sup> *		
Individual components used to manufacture composite:					
Coating product (test face)	"Muralplast MP Quartz"	2 x 300g/m <sup>2</sup>	Not stated		
Substrate	"Versapanel"	8mm	10.4kg/m <sup>2</sup>		
*Determined by Exova Warringtonfire					
Please see page 5 of this test report for the full description of the product tested					

Test Sponsor S Lucas Limited, 11 Invicta Business Park, London Road, Wrotham, Kent, TN15

7RJ, United Kingdom

Test Results: Class 1

Date of Test 19<sup>th</sup> & 20<sup>th</sup> March 2013

### **Signatories**

C'Men.	mb de
Responsible Officer	Authorised
C. Meachin *	M. Dale *
Acting Testing Officer	Deputy Operations Manager

Report Issued: 2<sup>nd</sup> April 2013

This version of the report has been produced from a pdf format electronic file that has been provided by Exova Warringtonfire to the sponsor of the report and must only be reproduced in full Extracts or abridgements of reports must not be published without permission of **Exova Warringtonfire** 

Document No.:326981Page No.:2 of 9Author:C. MeachinIssue Date:2nd April 2013Client:S Lucas LimitedIssue No.:1



0249

<sup>\*</sup> For and on behalf of Exova Warringtonfire.



CONTENTS	PAGE NO.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	2
SIGNATORIES	2
TEST DETAILS	4
DESCRIPTION OF TEST SPECIMENS	5
TEST RESULTS	6
APPENDIX 1 – TEST RESULTS	7
APPENDIX 2 – CLASSIFICATION CRITERIA	8
REVISION HISTORY	9

Document No.: 326981 Author: C. Meachin Client: S Lucas Limited Page No.: 3 of 9 Issue Date:  $2^{nd}$  April 2013

1 Issue No.:





### **Test Details**

#### **Purpose of test**

To determine the performance of a product when it is subjected to the conditions of the test specified in BS 476: Part 7: 1997, "Fire tests on building materials and structures, method for classification of the surface spread of flame of products". This test was therefore performed in accordance with the procedure specified in BS 476: Part 7: 1997, and this report should be read in conjunction with that British Standard.

#### Scope of test

BS 476: Part 7: 1997 specifies a method of test for measuring the lateral spread of flame along the surface of a specimen of a product orientated in the vertical position, and a classification system based on the rate and extent of flame spread. It provides data suitable for comparing the performances of essentially flat materials, composites, or assemblies, which are used primarily as the exposed surfaces of walls or ceilings.

### Fire test study group/EGOLF

Certain aspects of some fire test specifications are open to different interpretations. The Fire Test Study Group and EGOLF have identified a number of such areas and have agreed Resolutions which define common agreement of interpretations between fire test laboratories which are members of the Groups. Where such Resolutions are applicable to this test they have been followed.

#### Instruction to test

The test was conducted on the 19th & 20th March 2013 at the request of S Lucas Limited, the sponsor of the test.

# specimens

Provision of test The specimens were supplied by the sponsor of the test. Exova **Warringtonfire** was not involved in any selection or sampling procedure.

### Conditioning specimens

The specimens for testing to BS 476: Part 6: 1989+A1: 2009 together with the specimens for testing to BS 476: Part 7: 1997 were received on the 4<sup>th</sup> March 2013.

Prior to the tests, all of the specimens were conditioned to constant mass at a temperature of 23  $\pm$  2°C and a relative humidity of 50  $\pm$  5%. One specimen from the total sample submitted for test was selected for constant mass verification.

### specimens were tested

Form in which the Composite - Combination of materials which are generally recognised in building constructions as discrete entities, e.g. coated or laminated materials. Each specimen was tested in direct contact with a nominally 12mm thick noncombustible backing board.

### **Exposed face**

The coated face of the specimens was exposed to the heating conditions of the test.

Document No.: 326981 Page No.: 4 of 9 2<sup>nd</sup> April 2013 Author: C. Meachin Issue Date: Client: S Lucas Limited 1 Issue No.:





## **Description of Test Specimens**

The description of the specimens given below has been prepared from information provided by the sponsor of the test. All values quoted are nominal, unless tolerances are given.

General descrip	otion	Coated cement board substrate		
Product reference of composite including substrate		"Muralplast MP Quartz on Versapanel"		
Name of manufacturer of composite including		PRA		
substrate				
Thickness of co	emposite including substrate	8.25mm (stated by sponsor)		
		8.45mm (determined by <b>Exova</b>		
		Warringtonfire)		
	area of composite including	11.12kg/m <sup>2</sup> (determined by <b>Exova</b>		
substrate		Warringtonfire)		
	Generic type	Aqueous polymer emulsion		
	Product reference	"Muralplast MP Quartz"		
	Name of manufacturer	S Lucas Ltd		
Coating	Colour reference	"White"		
product	Number of coats	2		
(test face)	Application rate per coat	300g/m <sup>2</sup>		
	Application method	Paint Roller		
	Flame retardant details	See Note 1 below		
	Curing process per coat	Air drying		
	Generic type (e.g. polyurethane)	Cement bonded particle board		
	Product reference	"Versapanel"		
	Name of manufacturer	Euroform Products Ltd		
Substrate	Thickness	8mm		
	Density / weight per unit area	10.4kg/m²		
	Colour reference	"Grey"		
	Flame retardant details	See Note 2 below		
Brief description of manufacturing process		See Note 2 below		

Note 1 - The sponsor of the test has confirmed that no flame retardant additives were utilised in the production of the component.

Note 2 - The sponsor was unable to provide this information.

Document No.:326981Page No.:5 of 9Author:C. MeachinIssue Date:2nd April 2013Client:S Lucas LimitedIssue No.:1



02/19



### **Test Results**

## Results observations

and

The test results for the individual specimens, together with observations made during the test and comments on any difficulties encountered during the test are given in Appendix 1.

#### Classification

In accordance with the class definitions given in BS 476: Part 7: 1997, the specimens tested are classified as Class 1.

# Criteria classification

foi

If the prefix 'D' or suffix 'R' or 'Y' is included in the classification, this indicates that the results should be treated with caution. An explanation of the reason for the prefix and suffixes is given in Appendix 2, together with the classification limits specified in the Standard.

## Applicability test result

of The test results relate only to the behaviour of the test specimens of the product under the particular conditions of test; they are not intended to be the sole criterion for assessing the potential fire hazard of the product in use.

The test results relate only to the specimens of the product in the form in which they were tested. Small differences in the composition or thickness of the product may significantly affect the performance during the test and may therefore invalidate the test results. Care should be taken to ensure that any product which is supplied or used is fully represented by the specimens which were tested.

#### **Validity**

The specification and interpretation of fire test methods are the subject of ongoing development and refinement. Changes in associated legislation may also occur. For these reasons it is recommended that the relevance of test reports over five years old should be considered by the user. The laboratory that issued the report will be able to offer, on behalf of the legal owner, a review of the procedures adopted for a particular test to ensure that they are consistent with current practices, and if required may endorse the test report.

This report may only be reproduced in full. Extracts or abridgements shall not be published without permission of **Exova Warringtonfire**.

Document No.:326981Page No.:6 of 9Author:C. MeachinIssue Date:2nd April 2013Client:S Lucas LimitedIssue No.:1





## **Appendix 1 – Test Results**

SPECIMEN No.	1	2	3	4	5	6
Maximum distance travelled at 1.5 minutes (mm)	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
Distance (mm)		Time		indicated dis seconds)	tance	
75 165 190 215 240 265 290 375 455 500 525 600 675 710 750 785 825						
Time to reach maximum distance travelled	1:00	1:00	1:00	1:00	1:00	1:00
Maximum distance travelled in 10 minutes (mm)	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50

Note: Six specimens are usually tested. If the test on any specimen is deemed to be invalid, as defined in the Standard, it is permissible for up to a maximum of nine specimens to be tested in order to obtain the six valid test results.

7 of 9

2<sup>nd</sup> April 2013

1

Observations made during test and comments on any difficulties encountered during the test:

None.

Document No.: 326981 Page No.:

Author: C. Meachin Issue Date:

Client: S Lucas Limited Issue No.:





## **Appendix 2 – Classification criteria**

Classification spread of flame	of		Spread of Flame at 1.5 min		Final Spread of Flame	
		Classification	Limit (mm)	Limit for one specimen (mm)	Limit (mm)	Limit for one specimen (mm)
		Class 1 Class 2 Class 3	165 215 265	165 + 25 215 + 25 265 + 25	165 455 710	165 + 25 455 + 45 710 + 75
		Class 4	Exceeding the limits for class 3			

Explanation of prefix and suffixes which may be added to the classification

- 1. A suffix R is added to the classification if more than six specimens are required in order to obtain six valid test results (e.g. class 2R).
- 2. A prefix D is added to the classification of any product which does not comply with the surface characteristics specified in the Standard and has therefore been tested in a modified form (e.g. class D3).
- 3. A suffix Y is added to the classification if any softening and/or other behaviour that may affect the flame spread occurs (e.g. class 3Y).

For example, a classification of D3RY could be achieved indicating (a) a modified surface has been used; (b) a class 3 result has been obtained; (c) additional specimens have been used to obtain 6 valid results and; (d) softening and/or other behaviour has occurred which is considered to have affected the test result.

Document No.:326981Page No.:8 of 9Author:C. MeachinIssue Date:2nd April 2013Client:S Lucas LimitedIssue No.:1



0249

BS 476: Part 7: 1997



## **Revision History**

Issue No :	Re-issue Date:			
Revised By:	Approved By:			
Reason for Revision:				
Issue No :	Re-issue Date:			
Revised By:	Approved By:			
Reason for Revision:				

